

May 1, 1967

Professor Philip Bradley  
The Medical School  
Birmingham 15, England

Dear Philip,

Enclosed are six more galley proofs from the anti-cholinergic symposium. In addition, Dr. Itil sent me his author's copy of the proof for this volume (No. 2813) and I have read and concur with his comments. Incidentally, he is asking for 300 reprints without covers. If at all possible, I would like an additional 100 for my personal use and if these can be supplied with covers, I would prefer it.

With regard to the other proofs, there was little difficulty with those of Carlton, Longo, or Bures. With regard to the article by Ilyutchenok, I find it very poor. The text is poorly edited and the linotypist broke too many words incorrectly. It is difficult to read, and the style is too foreign for our usual audience. I have marked some corrections and suggest that you mark others. In addition, the citations are incomplete and this requires someone with experience with the Russian literature.

Dr. Ilyutchenok uses the names of drugs which are uncommon in our literature. Perhaps, for his article or for the book as a whole a dictionary of drugs with their equivalent names should be provided.

With regard to the paper by Domino, I found the present order of text and figures difficult to read. I would suggest that the results, pages 16, 17, and 18, should become pages 5, 6 and 7, following immediately after the methods section. Page 18 should end before the conclusions and summary and the figures might appropriately be inserted as pages 8 to 18. The section of conclusions and summary should then be included as pages 19, etc. While this will split the conclusions and summary from the main body of the text, it will place the figures in closer approximation to the relevant text.

May 1, 1967

There appears to be a similar problem with the report by Vojtechovsky as now set up, the tables appear on pages 2 to 6 but are related to the text of pages 7-8. I have indicated in the proof the possible location of Table I (page 7), Tables II and III (page 7) and Table IV (page 8). This is equally important for the figures which should be related to the text wherever possible.

Figures 8 and 9 perhaps should be reset at half-page for the text as it is confusing at present, or, the two halves of the figure should be marked by captions of "Figure 8" and "Figure 9."

There is the use of a strange term on pages 9 and 14 - floccilegium. I do not know its meaning and it does not appear in my dictionaries.

Table V should be related to the text of page 14 if at all possible, and I have indicated its approximate relevant position.

I look forward to the next galleys and to your comments.

Sincerely yours,

Max Fink, M.D.  
Professor of Psychiatry

MF:kp

enc.